pects of a QA Project Plan which are particularly important in assuring the collection of defensible data will be emphasized. Topics such as SOP Manuals, laboratory notebooks, instrument logs, personnel qualifications and training, frequency of duplicates and spikes, and audits will be covered. Questions will be welcomed.

Rate order modelling of *in situ* extraction of volatile organic compounds from porous media

Brian Keith Hunt and Irvin A. Jefcoat

Chemical Engineering Department, University of Alabama, Box 870203, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-6373 (USA)

Abstract

The ability to fit rate order models to the concentration vs. time data obtained from the extracted air stream of a bench scale soil *in situ* extraction apparatus was investigated. The extraction system model consisted of a 12 quart pail in which a porous media, either soil or utility sand, was placed around a small extraction tube. Water and a specific VOC were mixed in the media to simulate a contaminated field situation. The tube was connected to a vacuum system which caused air flow through the media. The concentration of the VOC in the extraction air flow stream was monitored using a flame ionization detector on a gas chromatograph. Porous media, VOC, and flowrate were varied to determine their effects on the rate order modelling.

In applying rate order models to the collected data, it was found that a 3/2 order model best fit the data collected from the highly permeable sand and a zero order model best fit the early data for the less permeable soil. For zero order behavior (soil) the regressions for the rate equations showed uniformity with flowrate and variation with compound. For 3/2 order behavior (sand) the opposite was true — the regression coefficients showed uniformity between compounds and variation between flowrates.

Preliminary fits to actual field data show good agreement for the 3/2 order model and it is recommended that further investigation be conducted into this area.
